William R. Levi (pro hac vice) Rebecca K. Wood (pro hac vice) Sidley Austin LLP 1500 K St. N.W. Washington, DC 20005 (202) 736-8000 wlevi@sidley.com rwood@sidley.com

Scott A. Kaplan (pro hac vice) Sidley Austin LLP 60 State St., 36th Floor Boston, MA 01209 (617) 223-0300 skaplan@sidley.com

Counsel for Defendant Premium Production, LLC

Kurt Harris, Esq. (Utah Bar No. 14047) Kurt Harris, Esq., P.C. 4730 South Fort Apache Rd., Ste. 400 Las Vegas, NV 89147 (702) 252-3838 kharris@702law.com

Counsel for Defendants

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF UTAH

IMITED	STATES	OF	AMERICA.
UNITED	SILID	$\mathbf{O}\mathbf{I}$	AMERICA.

Plaintiff,

v.

PREMIUM PRODUCTION, LLC, a corporation, and RYAN PETERSEN, an individual,

Defendants.

JOINT MOTION TO STAY PREPARATION OF A CASE SCHEDULE

Case No. 4:23-cv-00088-DN-PK

District Judge David Nuffer Magistrate Judge Paul Kohler

Defendants Premium Production, LLC, a corporation, and Ryan Petersen, an individual, and Plaintiff, the United States of America, (collectively, the "Parties") hereby jointly request that

the Court stay all deadlines associated with the preparation of the case schedule, see ECF No. 12,

until after it resolves the Parties' Joint Motion for Entry of Consent Decree for Permanent

Injunction, see ECF No. 4. The Parties aver as follows:

1. The Parties have agreed to resolve the above matter with the entry of a Consent

Decree of Permanent Injunction ("Consent Decree").

2. On October 12, 2023, the Parties moved the Court to enter a signed Consent Decree

as its final order and judgment in this case. See ECF No. 4.

3. On October 18, 2023, the Court ordered the Parties to prepare a case schedule by

filing an Attorney Planning Meeting Report and submitting a stipulated Proposed Scheduling

Order within 28 days after any defendant has appeared or within 42 days after any defendant has

been served with the complaint. See ECF No. 12.

4. Attorneys for the Parties have conferred and agreed that, if the Court agrees to enter

the Consent Decree as its final order and judgment, a scheduling order will be unnecessary.

Accordingly, the Parties agreed to submit this joint motion to stay all deadlines associated with the

preparation of a case schedule until after the court resolves the Joint Motion for Entry of Consent

Decree.

Dated: November 7, 2023

By: /s/ William R. Levi

William R. Levi

By: /s/ Sarah Williams

Sarah Williams

Counsel for Defendant Premium Production,

Attorney for the United States of America

LLC

By: /s/ Kurt Harris

Kurt Harris

Counsel for Defendants

2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 7th day of November 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing motion with the Clerk of the Court. I also certify that a copy of the foregoing motion was served by the Court's electronic filing system on Counsel for Plaintiff.

/s/ William R. Levi

William R. Levi 1500 K St. N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 736-8000 wlevi@sidley.com

Counsel for Defendants